Case 1:04-cv-11935-DPW Document 182-2 Filed 12/30/2005 Page 1 of 10

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * SHEILA J. PORTER, Plaintiff

2

CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO THE PROTECTIVE OFDER

DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH KEELEY, ESQUIRE, a witness called on behalf of the Plaintiff, in the above-captioned matter, said deposition being taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Patricia M. McLaughlin, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of Goodwin Procter LLP, Exchange Place, Boston, Massachusetts, on Wednesday, May 11, 2005, commencing at 10:08 a.m.

McLauchlin & Associates court reporters 92 Devir Street, Suite 304 Maiden, Massachusetts 02148 781.321.8922 WWW.E-STENOGRAPHER.COM

| 1 | | | IND | EX | | |
|----|----------------|------------|---------|-------|----------|---------|
| 2 | WITNESS | | DIRECT | CROSS | REDIRECT | RECROSS |
| 3 | <u>ELIZABE</u> | IH KEELEY, | ESQUIRE | | | |
| 4 | By Mr. | Schumacher | 5 | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | • | |
| 11 | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | 4 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| t | | | | | | |

| - 1 | | . · · · · · | 4 |
|----------------|---|---|---|
| ١ | 1 | APPEARANCES: | |
| | 2 | DAVID S. SCHUMACHER, ESQUIRE | |
| | 3 | GOODWIN PROCIER LLP | |
| ı | 4 | Exchange Place | |
| | 5 | Boston, Massachusetts 02109 | |
| 1 | 6 | On behalf of the Plaintiff | |
| ŀ | 7 | | |
| | 8 | ELLEN CAULO, ESQUIRE | |
| | 9 | GENERAL COUNSEL | |
| - | 0 | Suffolk County Sheriff's Department | |
| - | 1 | 200 Nashua Street | |
| miss of the sa | 2 | Boston, Massachusetts 02114 | |
| 3 | 3 | On behalf of the Defendants, | |
| 1 | 4 | Andrea Cabral, Suffolk County | |
| 200 | 5 | Sheriff's Department and Suffolk | |
| - | 6 | County | |
| • | 7 | | |
| | 8 | ALEXANDRA B. HARVEY, ESQUIRE | |
| - | 9 | ADIER, COHEN, HARVEY, WAKEMAN & GUEKGLEZIAN | |
| | 0 | 230 Congress Street | |
| ì | 1 | Boston, Massachusetts 02110 | |
| j | 2 | On behalf of the Defendant, | |
| : | 3 | Correctional Medical Services, Inc. | |
| : | 4 | | |

| | | | | 4 |
|----|-----|-------------------------------|------|---|
| 1 | No. | Exhibit | Page | |
| 2 | 1 | Policy S220 | 78 | |
| 3 | 2 | Case Summary, dated June 4, | | |
| 4 | | 2003 | 112 | |
| 5 | 3 | Memorandum dated May 29, 2003 | 115 | |
| 6 | 4 | List of S220 Violations | 143 | |
| 7 | 5 | Policy S220, revised April, | | |
| 8 | | 2005 | 154 | |
| 9 | 6 | Press Statement | 177 | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | • | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | • | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |

PORTER V. CABRAL, ET AL935-DPW DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH REELEY, EBOURE 10

18

19

20

57

| on the morning of June 10th, then it would |
|--|
| have been within a matter of hours that I |
| called her. |

- Tell me everything you remember about that conversation with Miss Mastrorilli.
- The first conversation I had with her, I called her, and I told her that the decision had been made to bar Sheila Porter. And my memory is that she said, okay, fine. That was the extent of the conversation.
- Did you tell her why Miss Porter was being barred?
- I don't think so. Not in that conversation,
- How did you leave it with Miss Mastrorilli? Q
- I think I left it like that.
- You instructed her to bar Miss Porter?
- Do you remember anything else about that conversation other than you telling Miss Mastrorilli that the decision had been made to bar Miss Porter?
- No, my memory is that that's what the conversation -- Mary Ellen may have said

to you, and I hung up the phone. 1

So is it your understanding that Miss Mastrorilli told Mrs. Porter that she

3 was barred? That's the first question. 4

5

- Did Miss Mastrorilli tell Mrs. Porter why she 6 was barred? 7
- I don't think so, because when she called me R back, she said that Sheila Porter, 9 Nurse Porter, wanted to know why and can I 10

tell her why or what are the reasons that I 11 12

should tell her.

- It was your understanding that 13 Miss Mastrorilli delivered the news to 14 Mrs. Porter and then Mrs. Porter came back 15 and asked her that? 16
- That's my impression, yes. 17
 - Do you remember her saying that? I'm trying to determine what your impression is based upon.
- Yes, I don't remember exactly what Mary Ellen 21 said to me, but she said, "I had a meeting 22 with Nurse Porter and I told her and she's 23 now asking for reasons." I got the sense the 24

something in response, but I don't remember. Did she ask you why the decision had been made to bar Miss Porter?

MS. CAUTO: Objection. Asked and answered.

- Not to my memory, no. A
- I think you said you had two conversations with her.
- Yes.
- When did the second conversation take place?
 - My memory is several hours later she called me and said -- my memory is she called me and told me that she had told Nurse Porter that she was barred and that she, meaning Nurse Porter, had come back to her and wanted to know the reasons why. I understood from that conversation that Mary Ellen had met with Sheila Porter. I don't remember whether or not she mentioned if anyone else was present, but that she communicated and that Sheila Porter had left her office or left the meeting and had come back sometime later asking for the reasons.

So I said to Mary Ellen, I'll get back

meeting happened, ended, and then 1 Sheila Porter came back and asked her for the 2 reasons; I want to know why I'm being barred.

3 And a couple of hours elapsed between those two calls; is that your recollection? 5

My recollection is it was mid to late morning 6 when I called Mary Ellen, and it was sometime 7 in the afternoon, early afternoon, 8

mid-afternoon when she called me back. 9

You told her you'd call her back in the 10 second conversation --11

- 12 A
- -- or you'd get back to her? 13 Q
- Α 14
- What did you do next? 15 Q
- My memory is that I called General Counsel, Anne Powers, and had a conversation with her, 17 and after that conversation, which was very 18 brief, I then on my desk at that time I had a large binder that had all the department 20 policies. I remember pulling the binder 21 over, opening it up to \$220 and taking a look 22

at S220 briefly, thumbing through it. 23 And then I picked up the phone and

24

60

PORTER V. CABRAC, ET 35-DPW

DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETHO KEELEY PESQUIRED

called Mary Ellen Mastrorilli back. What transpired during your conversation with

Miss Powers? MS. CAULO: Objection. Attorney client.

The purpose of your conversation with Miss Powers, was she relating legal advice to you at that time?

MS. CAULO: Objection.

Yes.

8

After you looked at \$220, did you contact Miss Mastrorilli again?

Yes, I had it open in front of me. My memory is I picked up the phone, and I called Mary Ellen. And I told her the reasons that she could give or share with Sheila Porter.

What were those reasons?

The reasons, as I recall, were she was -- you can tell her she's being barred for violations of \$220. I remember that I was flipping through S220 and Section C, which has to do with -- confidential communications was first, so I may have mentioned that first; that she had communicated confidential inmate information outside the department. I

These were all factors that you related to 1 Miss Mastrorilli during this conversation? 2

Yes, that's my memory of what I said to her. 3

Did you instruct her to relate this information to Mrs. Porter as the reasons for her barring? 6

I don't think I said "now tell her exactly 7 Α what I just told you," but she asked me what 8 the reasons were that she could give and 9 that's what I told her. 10

And these are all included in the reasons 11 that could be related to Miss Porter?

Correct. 13

4

12

Do you know if Miss Mastrorilli, in fact, did 14 relay this information to Mrs. Porter? 15

I don't know what she said to her. 16

Did you have any follow-up conversations with 17 Miss Mastrorilli? 18

I recall having one. 19

What happened during that follow-up 20

conversation? 21

It was maybe a week or two later. It was 22 after the meeting at the U.S. Attorney's 23 Office. I know that. I asked her,

flipped through some more pages, and I remember telling her her failure to file a timely report, her failure to document a medical file. And I remember that -- again, I'm not sure of the order, but it was failure to document a medical file, failure to file a timely report.

I may have mentioned that her failure to file a report -- my memory is that I said this: That failure to file a report interfered with an ongoing investigation; that her report was inconsistent with other reports of what people claim to have seen in terms of injuries to Reme Rosario, so that it was not a credible report.

I remember hesitating, because I wasn't sure whether I wanted to say this, but I remember pausing. And I said, "And you can share with her that because Rene Rosario has been talking about his role as an informant and he has mentioned her name in the context of cooperating with the FBI, that the department could not assure her personal safety."

"Mary Ellen, did you write down the reasons 1 that I gave you?" She said no. That was the 2 one and only conversation I have had with Mary Ellen Mastrorilli about this since.

4 Did you talk about anything else during this 5 0 final conversation other than whether she

6 documented the reasons that you had provided 7

for her? 8

I don't recall, but I think that was the 9 A extent of the conversation.

10 If I have this right, I've counted four 11 communications with Miss Mastrorilli on or 12 after June 10th. The first was when you 13

called her to tell her to bar Sheila Porter? 14

Yes. 15 А

The second was when Miss Mastrorilli called Q 16 you back to say that Miss Porter wanted to 17 know the reasons why she was barred, and you 18

said you'd get back to her? 19

Correct. 20

The third was when you called her back after speaking with General Counsel and reviewing S220.

MS. CAULO: I object. I'm sorry.

21

22

23

24

DEPOSITION OF ELIZABETH KEELEY, ESQUIRE Document 182-2 Filed 12/30/2005 Page 5 of 10 obligations between her and CMS or between 1 Who did you speak to? Q CMS and Suffolk County? Before I started typing, there was a meeting 2 There is more than one contractual 3 with myself, the Sheriff, General Counsel, A relationship. She violated the terms of the Anne Powers, and off and on, Steve Tomkins contract that exists between the employees of 5 was in the room. OMS and the Sheriff's Department. 6 What about Viktor Theiss? Was he involved in Which terms were those that she violated? the drafting of the statement? 7 To provide good, competent, medical care, 8 I don't believe so, no. I don't recall him 8 9 and -- yes. 9 being involved. Any other contractual obligations that she 10 MR. SCHUMACHER: Can you mark that. 10 violated? (Document marked Exhibit No. 6.) 11 11 Well, as part of that good medical care, that 12 BY MR. SCHLMACHER: 12 is what I've described previously, which is I'm handing you what's been marked as 13 13 to document medical files and to provide Exhibit 6. Do you recognize this document? 14 14 appropriate medical treatment. So I would 15 Yes. 15 say that the contractual obligations that we 16 Is this the press statement that you drafted 16 have with CMS and as a contract worker with 17 17 that we were just discussing? CMS, she has them as well. 18 Yes. 18 The next sentence states that, "She is 19 Under No. 2, it reads, "Mrs. Porter was asked 19 Q clearly biased and has her own agenda for 20 20 to leave the House of Correction because she speaking out at this time." On what do you violated department regulations and 21 21 base the statement that Mrs. Porter is 22 contractual obligations." The department 22 clearly biased? 23 regulations that you were referring to, are 23

| | <u> </u> | 178 | | | 180 |
|----|----------|---|-----|---|---|
| 1 | | in this deposition? | 1 | | what happened to her and why, and used in the |
| 2 | A | It certainly would have included S220. It | 2 | | sentence of "clearly biased and has her own |
| 3 | A | may have been that other policies were | 3 | | agenda," her decision to publicize 14 months |
| 4 | | discussed before I drafted this, but my | 4 | | after her removal from the department |
| 5 | | recollection is I was referring to S220. | 5 | | suggested that she was trying to capitalize |
| 6 | _ | Was she asked to leave the House of | 6 | | on the attention that was being focused on |
| - | Q | | 7 | | Sheriff Cabral and the race for Suffolk |
| 7 | | Corrections because she violated any | 8 | | County Sheriff in the summer of 2004. |
| 8 | _ | department regulations other than S220? | 9 | 0 | So you believe that her agenda was to somehow |
| 9 | A | I think it covers \$220. Again, I don't know | 1 - | Ψ | affect the Sheriff's political campaign? |
| 10 | | whether or not there were others that she | 10 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 11 | | violated that I wasn't aware of. | 11 | | MS. CAULO: Objection. |
| 12 | Q | Sitting here today, you can't identify any | 12 | A | I think part of her agenda was to use the |
| 13 | | other regulations | 13 | | campaign and the attention that was focused |
| 14 | A | Correct. | 14 | | on Sheriff Cabral and her administration to |
| 15 | Q | besides S220 that she violated? | 15 | | advance her particular perspective on what |
| 16 | A | Correct. | 16 | | happened to her. I thought it was not a |
| 17 | Q | The contractual obligations that she | 17 | | coincidence that she waited 14 months until |
| 18 | | allegedly violated, which ones are those? | 18 | | there was a heated campaign going on to go |
| 19 | A | The ones that I have spoken of in terms of | 19 | | public with her story. |
| 20 | | our contractual relationship with CMS and the | 20 | Q | Just so I understand, when you say that she's |
| 21 | | quality of medical care that we expect from | 21 | | clearly biased, do you just mean that she has |
| 22 | | their employees. | 22 | | her own interpretation and belief of why she |
| 23 | Q | By this phrase you're indicating that | 23 | | was barred? |
| 24 | _ | Mrs, Porter violated her contractual | 24 | | MS. CAULO: Objection. Asked and |
| | | | | | |

24

Α

those the ones that we have discussed today

24

Biased in that she has her own perspective on

PORTER YACABRALS EDAL DOCUMBEPRIZION PREJECT KEELEY, ESQUIRE

| | | 173 |
|------------|---|---|
| / | / | overview of the investigation. That's not |
| | | why I was going there. So I was pretty |
| /3 | | upset. I said to Gerry, "What the hell was |
| / 4 | | that all about?" |
| 5 | Q | How did Mr. Leone respond? |
| 6 | A | He said, "I'm sorry. I had no idea that was |
| 7 | | going to happen." |
| 8 | Q | Mr. Leone said that he had no idea that this |
| 9 | | topic was going to be discussed at that |
| 10 | | meeting? |
| 11 | A | He said, "I had no idea that was going to |
| 12 | | happen." |
| 13 | Q | Did you have any further conversation with |
| 14 | | Mr. Leone at that time? |
| 15 | A | I did sometime afterwards, after we received |
| 16 | | some communication from the U.S. Attorney's |
| 17 | | Office. |
| 18 | Q | Did you have a phone call with him? |
| 19 | A | Yes, I did. |
| 20 | Q | What transpired during that phone call? |
| 21 | A | I said again, "What's going on?" I told |
| 22 | | him I don't even remember what the |
| 23 | | communication was, but I told him that we had |
| 24 | | received something, suggesting they were |

| • | | | 3d 12/00/2000 1 ago 0 01 10 |
|---|----|-----|---|
| | l | | 175 |
| | 1 | | speak one more time on the phone. But I |
| | 2 | | don't have a specific memory of it. |
| | 3 | Q · | Have you given testimony to the Grand Jury |
| | 4 | | that's been convened to investigate the |
| | 5 | | circumstances of Miss Porter's barring? |
| | 6 | A | Yes. |
| | 7 | Q | On how many occasions? |
| | 8 | A | Once. |
| | 9 | Q | When was that? |
| | 10 | A | February 15th, 2005. |
| | 11 | Q | Who else from the Sheriff's Department has |
| | 12 | | testified before the Grand Jury? |
| | 13 | A | To my knowledge, Viktor Theiss, |
| | 14 | | Sheriff Cahral, former Deputy Superintendent |
| | 15 | | Mary Ellen Mastrorilli, Brian Dacey, SID |
| | 16 | | Investigator, Sonya Aleman, SID Investigator. |
| | 17 | | I'm not sure whether or not this is correct, |
| | 18 | | but I believe Steve Jacobs, who was at that |
| | 19 | | time with SID, testified. Our General |
| | 20 | | Counsel, Anne Powers, and Chief of External |
| | 21 | | Affairs, Steve Tomkins. I think that's |
| | 22 | | everybody. |
| | 23 | Q | Do you know if Paul DeFazio has? |
| 1 | | | |

I'm not sure about that.

| | ····· | 174 |
|-------------|---------------|---|
| 1 | | opening up a formal criminal investigation. |
| 2 | Q | What did you receive? Was it a written |
| 3 | | correspondence of some sort? |
| 4 | A | Yes. |
| 5 | Q | Was it a letter, do you know? |
| 6 | A | It was something in writing, yes. |
| 7 | Q | Do you remember who it was addressed to? |
| 8 | A | I think it was addressed to the Sheriff. |
| 9 | | MR. SCHUMACHER: Have we seen that, |
| 10 | | Ellen? |
| 11 | | MS. CAULO: I'm not sure exactly which |
| 12 | | document she's referring to. It may very |
| 13 | | well be the document that I indicated to you |
| 14 | | Yesterday that will be produced. |
| 15 | Q | How did Mr. Leone respond when you asked him? |
| 16 | A | He was very surprised. He had no idea that |
| 17 | | things had progressed or were going in that |
| 18 | | direction, something to that effect. |
| 19 | Q | How did you leave it with Mr. Leone on that |
| 20 | | day? |
| 21 | A | I don't remember how I left it with him. |
| 22 . | Q | Did you have any further conversations with |
| 23 | | Mr. Leone about this? |
| 24 | ু '≱ ⊾ | I don't believe so, but it's possible that we |

| | | 176 |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | Q | Do you know whether or not the criminal |
| 2 | • | investigation is still ongoing? |
| 3 | A | I think it is, yes. |
| 4 | Q | Are you familiar with a statement that was |
| 5 | | released to the press on August 25th, 2004, |
| 6 | | concerning Mrs. Porter that was released on |
| 7 | | behalf of the Sheriff's Department? |
| 8 | A | I know of a statement we made. I'm not |
| 9 | | exactly sure that's the date, but we did |
| 10 | | release a statement in August of 2004. |
| 11 | Q | Did you have any role in drafting that |
| 12 | | statement? |
| 13 | A | Yes. |
| 14 | Q | How would you describe your role? |
| 15 | A | How did I do it? |
| 16 | Q | What was your role? Did you physically draft |
| 17 | | the statement? |
| 18 | A | I went to my computer and typed it on the |
| 19 | | screen. |
| 20 | Q | You drafted the statement? |
| 21 | A | I did. |
| 22 | Q | Did you receive input from anybody to |
| | | |

determine what should go into that statement?

23

24

Yes.

Case 1:04-cv-11935-DPW Document 182-2 Filed 12/30/2005 Page 7 of 10

EXHIBIT B

Document 182-2

Filed 12/30/2005

Page 8 of 10

GOODWIN PROCTER

Anita B. Bapooji 617.570.1998 abapooji@ goodwinprocter.com Goodwin Procter LLP Counsellors at Law Exchange Place Boston, MA 02109 T: 617.570.1000 F: 617.523.1231

June 15, 2005

Ellen M. Caulo Suffolk County Sheriff's Department Office of the General Counsel 200 Nashua Street Boston, MA 02114

Re: Sheila J. Porter v. Andrea Cabral, et al., No. 04-11935-DPW

Dear Ellen:

Following up on our recent conversation regarding Anne Powers, I am writing to confirm that Plaintiff is not going to depose Ms. Powers based on your representation that she would assert privilege in response to questions about Plaintiff's debarment.

Please contact me if I have misunderstood your representation on this issue.

Very truly yours,

Anita B. Bapooji

cc: Alexandra B. Harvey

Joseph F. Savage Jr.

anila B Bapoon / Hnk

EXHIBIT C



Jail 200 Nashua Street Boston, MA 02114 (617) 635-1100

House of Correction 20 Bradston Street Boston, MA 02118 (617) 635-1000

Page 10 of

June 20, 2005

Anita B. Bapooji, Esq. Goodwin Procter LLP Exchange Place Boston, MA 02109

> RE: Sheila J. Porter v. Andrea Cabral, et al. No. 04-11935-DPW

Dear Attorney Bapooji:

In response to your letter dated June 15, 2005 I write to correct your characterization of my representation concerning any privilege that General Counsel Anne Powers would assert should she be deposed. During our recent conversation, I informed you that should you depose Ms. Powers she would assert privilege in response to questions concerning communications protected by the attorney client privilege.

Very truly yours,

Ellen M. Caulo

cc. Alexandra B. Harvey, Esq.